

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

It is completely unfair and unconstitutional to impose unrepresentative taxation on those who merely need access to subsidize those who use long distance all the time. All taxation of this type should be imposed on a per use, not per account basis. Those that make 100-500 calls a day use up resources while those that make 1-2 call per month merely use a phone number and are already paying a substantial fee for that. Trying to subsidize the 500 calls a day customer at our expense is completely wrong and only serves the interests of wealthy businesses and the phone companies that want to keep their biggest customers happy, again at the expense of those who are struggling to afford the most basic service.

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Edgar Moon
9103 Kopping Ln
Hickory Hills, Illinois 60457